

Pure extensions of locally compact abelian groups

Peter Loth

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study the group $\text{Pext}(C, A)$ for locally compact abelian (LCA) groups A and C . Sufficient conditions are established for $\text{Pext}(C, A)$ to coincide with the first Ulm subgroup of $\text{Ext}(C, A)$. Some structural information on pure injectives in the category of LCA groups is obtained. Letting $\mathfrak{C}$ denote the class of LCA groups which can be written as the topological direct sum of a compactly generated group and a discrete group, we determine the groups G in $\mathfrak{C}$ which are pure injective in the category of LCA groups. Finally we describe those groups G in $\mathfrak{C}$ such that every pure extension of G by a group in $\mathfrak{C}$ splits and obtain a corresponding dual result.

1. Introduction

In this paper, all considered groups are Hausdorff topological abelian groups and will be written additively. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ denote the category of locally compact abelian (LCA) groups with continuous homomorphisms as morphisms. The Pontrjagin dual group of a group G is denoted by $\widehat{G}$ and the annihilator of $S \subseteq G$ in $\widehat{G}$ is denoted by $(\widehat{G}, S)$. A morphism is called *proper* if it is open onto its image, and a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} C \rightarrow 0$$

in $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be *proper exact* if ϕ and ψ are proper morphisms. In this case, the sequence is called an *extension of A by C (in $\mathfrak{L}$)*, and A may be identified with $\phi(A)$ and C with $B/\phi(A)$. Following Fulp and Griffith [FG1], we let $\text{Ext}(C, A)$ denote the (discrete) group of extensions of A by C . The elements represented by pure extensions of A by C form a subgroup of $\text{Ext}(C, A)$ which is denoted by $\text{Pext}(C, A)$. This leads to a functor Pext from $\mathfrak{L} \times \mathfrak{L}$ into the category of discrete abelian groups. The literature shows the importance of the notion of pure extensions (see for instance [F]). The concept of purity in the category of locally compact abelian groups has been studied by several authors (see e.g. [A], [B], [Fu1], [HH], [Kh], [L1], [L2] and [V]). The notion of topological purity is due to Vilenkin [V]: a

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20K35, 22B05; Secondary 20K25, 20K40, 20K45.

subgroup H of a group G is called *topologically pure* if $\overline{nH} = H \cap \overline{nG}$ for all positive integers n . The annihilator of a closed pure subgroup of an LCA group is topologically pure (cf. [L2]) but need not be pure in $\widehat{G}$ (see e.g. [A]). As is well known, $\text{Pext}(C, A)$ coincides with

$$\text{Ext}(C, A)^1 = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} n\text{Ext}(C, A),$$

the first Ulm subgroup of $\text{Ext}(C, A)$, provided that A and C are discrete abelian groups (see [F]). In the category $\mathfrak{L}$, a corresponding result need not hold: for groups A and C in $\mathfrak{L}$, $\text{Ext}(C, A)^1$ is a (possibly proper) subgroup of $\text{Pext}(C, A)$, and it coincides with $\text{Pext}(C, A)$ if (a) A and C are compactly generated, or (b) A and C have no small subgroups (see Theorem 2.4). If G is pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$, then G has the form $R \oplus T \oplus G'$ where R is a vector group, T is a toral group and G' is a densely divisible topological torsion group having no nontrivial pure compact open subgroups. However, the converse need not be true (cf. Theorem 2.7). Let $\mathfrak{C}$ denote the class of LCA groups which can be written as the topological direct sum of a compactly generated group and a discrete group. Then a group in $\mathfrak{C}$ is pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$ if and only if it is injective in $\mathfrak{L}$ (see Corollary 2.8). Let G be a group in $\mathfrak{C}$. Then every pure extension of G by a group in $\mathfrak{C}$ splits if and only if G has the form $R \oplus T \oplus A \oplus B$ where R is a vector group, T is a toral group, A is a topological direct product of finite cyclic groups and B is a discrete bounded group. Dually, every pure extension of a group in $\mathfrak{C}$ by G splits exactly if G has the form $R \oplus C \oplus D$ where R is a vector group, C is a compact torsion group and D is a discrete direct sum of cyclic groups (see Theorem 2.11).

The additive topological group of real numbers is denoted by $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{Q}$ is the group of rationals, $\mathbf{Z}$ is the group of integers, $\mathbf{T}$ is the quotient $\mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Z}(n)$ is the cyclic group of order n and $\mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$ denotes the quasicyclic group. By G_d we mean the group G with the discrete topology, tG is the torsion part of G and bG is the subgroup of all compact elements of G . Throughout this paper the term “isomorphic” is used for “topologically isomorphic”, “direct summand” for “topological direct summand” and “direct product” for “topological direct product”. We follow the standard notation in [F] and [HR].

2. Pure extensions of LCA groups

We start with a result on pure extensions involving direct sums and direct products.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let G be in $\mathfrak{L}$ and suppose $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ is a collection of groups in $\mathfrak{L}$. If H_i is discrete for all but finitely many $i \in I$, then*

$$\text{Pext}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i, G) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Pext}(H_i, G).$$

If H_i is compact for all but finitely many $i \in I$, then

$$\text{Pext}(G, \prod_{i \in I} H_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \text{Pext}(G, H_i).$$

In general, there is no monomorphism

$$\text{Pext}(G, (\prod_{i \in I} H_i)_d) \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \text{Pext}(G, (H_i)_d).$$

PROOF. To prove the first assertion, let $\pi_i : H_i \rightarrow \bigoplus H_i$ be the natural injection for each $i \in I$. Then the map $\phi : \text{Ext}(\bigoplus H_i, G) \rightarrow \prod \text{Ext}(H_i, G)$ defined by $E \mapsto (E\pi_i)$ is an isomorphism (cf. [FG1] Theorem 2.13), mapping the group $\text{Pext}(\bigoplus H_i, G)$ into $\prod \text{Pext}(H_i, G)$. If the groups H_i and G are stripped of their topology, the corresponding isomorphism maps the group $\text{Pext}(\bigoplus (H_i)_d, G_d)$ onto $\prod \text{Pext}((H_i)_d, G_d)$ (see [F] Theorem 53.7 and p. 231, Exercise 6). Since an extension equivalent to a pure extension is pure, ϕ maps $\text{Pext}(\bigoplus H_i, G)$ onto $\prod \text{Pext}(H_i, G)$, establishing the first statement. The proof of the second assertion is similar. To prove the last statement, let p be a prime and $H = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}(p^n)$, taken discrete. Assume $\text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, H) = 0$. By [FG2] Corollary 2.10, the sequences

$$\text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, H) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, H/tH) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 = \text{Hom}((\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^\wedge, H/tH) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}, H/tH) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, H/tH)$$

are exact, hence [FG1] Proposition 2.17 yields $H/tH \cong \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}, H/tH) = 0$ which is impossible. Since $\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}$ is torsion-free, it follows that $\text{Pext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, H) = \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, H) \neq 0$. On the other hand, we have

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Pext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Z}(p^n)) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, \mathbf{Z}(p^n)) \cong \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{Ext}(\mathbf{Z}(p^n), \mathbf{Q}) = 0$$

by [FG1] Theorem 2.12 and [F] Theorem 21.1. Note that this example shows that Proposition 6 in [Fu1] is incorrect. $\square$

PROPOSITION 2.2. Suppose $E_0 : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ is a proper exact sequence in $\mathfrak{L}$. Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be a proper continuous homomorphism and α_* the induced endomorphism on $\text{Ext}(C, A)$ given by $\alpha_*(E) = \alpha E$. Then $E_0 \in \text{Im } \alpha_*$ if and only if $\text{Im } \phi / \text{Im } \phi \alpha$ is a direct summand of $B / \text{Im } \phi \alpha$.

PROOF. If $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is a proper morphism in $\mathfrak{L}$, then

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \alpha \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi / \text{Im } \phi \alpha \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \alpha \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{Im } \alpha \rightarrow 0$$

are proper exact sequences in $\mathfrak{L}$ (cf. [HR] Theorem 5.27). Now [FG2] Corollary 2.10 and the proof of [F] Theorem 53.1 show that $E_0 \in \text{Im } \alpha_*$ if and only if the induced proper exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Im } \phi / \text{Im } \phi \alpha \rightarrow B / \text{Im } \phi \alpha \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$$

splits. $\square$

If A and C are groups in $\mathfrak{L}$, then $\text{Ext}(C, A) \cong \text{Ext}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{C})$ (see [FG1] Theorem 2.12). We have, however:

LEMMA 2.3. *Let A and C be in $\mathfrak{L}$. Then:*

- (i) *In general, $\text{Pext}(C, A) \not\cong \text{Pext}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{C})$.*
- (ii) *Let $\mathfrak{K}$ denote a class of LCA groups satisfying the following property: If $G \in \mathfrak{K}$, then $\widehat{G} \in \mathfrak{K}$ and nG is closed in G for all positive integers n . Then $\text{Pext}(C, A) \cong \text{Pext}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{C})$ whenever A and C are in $\mathfrak{K}$.*

PROOF. (i) The finite torsion part of a group in $\mathfrak{L}$ need not be a direct summand (see for instance [Kh]), so there is a finite group F and a torsion-free group C in $\mathfrak{L}$ such that $\text{Pext}(C, F) = \text{Ext}(C, F) \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\text{Pext}(\widehat{F}, \widehat{C}) \cong \text{Pext}(F, (\widehat{C})_d) = 0$ by [F] Theorem 30.2.

(ii) Let A and C be in $\mathfrak{K}$ and consider the isomorphism $\text{Ext}(C, A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}(\widehat{A}, \widehat{C})$ given by $E : 0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \mapsto \widehat{E} : 0 \rightarrow \widehat{C} \rightarrow \widehat{B} \rightarrow \widehat{A} \rightarrow 0$. The annihilator of a closed pure subgroup of B is topologically pure in $\widehat{B}$ (cf. [L2] Proposition 2.1) and for all positive integers n , nA and $n\widehat{C}$ are closed subgroups of A and $\widehat{C}$, respectively. Therefore, E is pure if and only if $\widehat{E}$ is pure. $\square$

Recall that a topological group is said to have *no small subgroups* if there is a neighborhood of 0 which contains no nontrivial subgroups. Moskowitz [M] proved that the LCA groups with no small subgroups have the form $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^m \oplus D$ where n and m are nonnegative integers and D is a discrete group, and that their Pontrjagin duals are precisely the compactly generated LCA groups.

THEOREM 2.4. *For groups A and C in $\mathfrak{L}$, we have:*

- (i) $\text{Pext}(C, A) \supseteq \text{Ext}(C, A)^1$.
- (ii) $\text{Pext}(C, A) \neq \text{Ext}(C, A)^1$ in general.
- (iii) *Suppose (a) A and C are compactly generated, or (b) A and C have no small subgroups. Then $\text{Pext}(C, A) = \text{Ext}(C, A)^1$.*

PROOF. (i) Let $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ be the multiplication by a positive integer n and let $E : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} X \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \in n\text{Ext}(C, A)$. Since Ext is an additive functor, there exists an extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & X & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

is a pushout diagram in $\mathfrak{L}$. An easy calculation shows that $nX \cap \phi(A) = n\phi(A)$, hence $\text{Ext}(C, A)^1$ is a subset of $\text{Pext}(C, A)$.

(ii) Let $\text{Pext}(C, F)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Then $\text{Pext}(C, F) \neq 0$ but $\text{Ext}(C, F)^1 = 0$.

(iii) Suppose first that A and C are compactly generated. If $\alpha : A \rightarrow A$ is the multiplication by a positive integer n , then $\alpha(A) = nA$ is a group in $\mathfrak{L}$. Since A is σ -compact, α is a proper morphism by [HR] Theorem

5.29. Let $E : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0 \in \text{Ext}(C, A)$. By Proposition 2.2, $E \in \text{Im } \alpha_* = n\text{Ext}(C, A)$ if and only if $\phi(A)/n\phi(A)$ is a direct summand of $B/n\phi(A)$. Now assume that E is a pure extension. Then $\phi(A)/n\phi(A)$ is pure in the group $B/n\phi(A)$ which is compactly generated (cf. [M] Theorem 2.6). Since the compact group $\phi(A)/n\phi(A)$ is topologically pure, it is a direct summand of $B/n\phi(A)$ (see [L1] Theorem 3.1). Consequently, E is an element of the first Ulm subgroup of $\text{Ext}(C, A)$ and by (i) the assertion follows. To prove the second part of (iii), assume that A and C have no small subgroups. By what we have just shown and Lemma 2.3, we have $\text{Pext}(C, A) \cong \text{Pext}(\hat{A}, \hat{C}) = \text{Ext}(\hat{A}, \hat{C})^1 \cong \text{Ext}(C, A)^1$. $\square$

By the structure theorem for locally compact abelian groups, any group G in $\mathfrak{L}$ can be written as $G = V \oplus \tilde{G}$ where V is a maximal vector subgroup of G and $\tilde{G}$ contains a compact open subgroup. The groups V and $\tilde{G}$ are uniquely determined up to isomorphism (see [HR] Theorem 24.30 and [AA] Corollary 1).

LEMMA 2.5. *A group G in $\mathfrak{L}$ is torsion-free if and only if every compact open subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ is torsion-free.*

PROOF. Only sufficiency needs to be shown. Suppose every compact open subgroup of $\tilde{G}$ is torsion-free and assume that G is not torsion-free. Then $\tilde{G}$ contains a nonzero element x of finite order. If K is any compact open subgroup of $\tilde{G}$, then $K + \langle x \rangle$ is compact (see [HR] Theorem 4.4) and open in $\tilde{G}$ but not torsion-free, a contradiction. $\square$

Dually, we obtain the following fact which extends [A] (4.33). Recall that a group is said to be *densely divisible* if it possesses a dense divisible subgroup.

LEMMA 2.6. *A group G in $\mathfrak{L}$ is densely divisible if and only if $\tilde{G}/K$ is divisible for every compact open subgroup K of $\tilde{G}$.*

PROOF. Again, only sufficiency needs to be proved. Assume that $\tilde{G}/K$ is divisible for every compact open subgroup K of $\tilde{G}$ and let C be a compact open subgroup of $(\hat{G})^\sim$. Since $(\hat{G})^\sim \cong (G/V)^\wedge$ where V is a maximal vector subgroup of G , there exists a compact open subgroup X/V of G/V such that $C \cong ((G/V)^\wedge, X/V) \cong ((G/V)/(X/V))^\wedge$ (see [HR] Theorems 23.25, 24.10 and 24.11). By our assumption, $(G/V)/(X/V)$ is divisible. But then C is torsion-free (cf. [HR] Theorem 24.23), so by Lemma 2.5, $\tilde{G}$ is torsion-free. Finally, [R] Theorem 5.2 shows that G is densely divisible. $\square$

Let G be in $\mathfrak{L}$. Then G is called *pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$* if for every pure extension $0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathfrak{L}$ and continuous homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow G$ there is a continuous homomorphism $\bar{f} : B \rightarrow G$ such that the

diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \xrightarrow{\phi} & B & \rightarrow & C \rightarrow 0 \\ & & f \downarrow & \swarrow \bar{f} & & & \\ & & G & & & & \end{array}$$

is commutative. Following Robertson [R], we call G a *topological torsion group* if $(n!)x \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in G$. Note that a group G in $\mathfrak{L}$ is a topological torsion group if and only if both G and $\widehat{G}$ are totally disconnected (cf. [R] Theorem 3.15). Our next result improves [Fu1] Proposition 9.

THEOREM 2.7. *Consider the following conditions for a group G in $\mathfrak{L}$:*

- (i) G is pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$.
- (ii) $\text{Pext}(X, G) = 0$ for all groups X in $\mathfrak{L}$.
- (iii) $G \cong \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus G'$ where n is a nonnegative integer, $\mathfrak{m}$ is a cardinal and G' is a densely divisible topological torsion group possessing no nontrivial pure compact open subgroups.

Then we have: (i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) and (iii) $\nRightarrow$ (ii).

PROOF. If G is pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$, then any pure extension $0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ in $\mathfrak{L}$ splits because there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & \swarrow & & & \\ & & G, & & & & \end{array}$$

hence (i) implies (ii). Conversely, assume (ii). If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0$ is a pure extension in $\mathfrak{L}$ and $f : A \rightarrow G$ is a continuous homomorphism, then there is a pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & A & \rightarrow & B & \rightarrow & X \rightarrow 0 \\ & & f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 0 & \rightarrow & G & \rightarrow & Y & \rightarrow & X \rightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

The bottom row is an extension in $\mathfrak{L}$ (cf. [FG1]) which is pure. By our assumption, it splits and (i) follows.

To show (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii), let us assume first that $\text{Pext}(X, G) = 0$ for all groups $X \in \mathfrak{C}$. Then the proof of [L1] Theorem 4.3 shows that G is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus G'$ where n is a nonnegative integer, $\mathfrak{m}$ is a cardinal and G' is totally disconnected. Notice that G'/bG' is discrete (cf. [HR] (9.26)(a)) and torsion-free. Since the sequence

$$0 = \text{Hom}((\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z})^\wedge, G'/bG') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}, G'/bG') \rightarrow \text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Q}}, G'/bG') = 0$$

is exact, G'/bG' is isomorphic to $\text{Ext}(\widehat{\mathbf{Z}}, G'/bG') = 0$ and therefore $G' = bG'$. It follows that the dual group of G' is totally disconnected (cf. [HR] Theorem 24.17), thus G' is a topological torsion group. Suppose that $\text{Pext}(X, G) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{L}$ and let K be a compact open subgroup of G' . Then G'/K is a divisible group (see [Fu2] Theorem 7 or the proof of [L1] Theorem 4.1), so by Lemma 2.6 G' is densely divisible. Now assume

that G' has a pure compact open subgroup A . Since A is algebraically compact, it is a direct summand of G' . But then A is divisible, hence connected (see [HR] Theorem 24.25) and therefore $A = 0$. Consequently, (ii) implies (iii).

Finally, (iii) $\not\Rightarrow$ (ii) because for instance, there is a nonsplitting extension of $\mathbf{Z}(p^\infty)$ by a compact group (cf. [A] Example 6.4). $\square$

Those groups in $\mathfrak{C}$ which are pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$ are completely determined:

COROLLARY 2.8. *A group G in $\mathfrak{C}$ is pure injective in $\mathfrak{L}$ if and only if $G \cong \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}}$ where n is a nonnegative integer and $\mathfrak{m}$ is a cardinal.*

PROOF. The assertion follows immediately from [M] Theorem 3.2 and the above theorem. $\square$

The following lemma will be needed.

LEMMA 2.9. *Every finite subset of a reduced torsion group A can be embedded in a finite pure subgroup of A .*

PROOF. By [F] Theorem 8.4, it suffices to assume that A is a reduced p -group. But then the assertion follows from [K] p. 23, Lemma 9 and an easy induction. $\square$

A pure extension $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ with discrete torsion group A and compact group C need not split, as [A] Example 6.4 illustrates. Our next result shows that no such example can occur if A is reduced.

PROPOSITION 2.10. *Suppose A is a discrete reduced torsion group. Then $\text{Pext}(X, A) = 0$ for all compactly generated groups X in $\mathfrak{L}$.*

PROOF. Suppose $E : 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\phi} B \xrightarrow{\psi} X \rightarrow 0$ represents an element of $\text{Pext}(X, A)$ where A is a discrete reduced torsion group and X is a compactly generated group in $\mathfrak{L}$. By [FG2] Theorem 2.1, there is a compactly generated subgroup C of B such that $\psi(C) = X$. If we set $A' = \phi(A)$, then $A' \cap C$ is discrete, compactly generated and torsion, hence finite, so by Lemma 2.9 A' has a finite pure subgroup F containing $A' \cap C$. Now set $C' = C + F$. Then F is a pure subgroup of C' because it is pure in B . But then F is topologically pure in C' since C' is compactly generated. By [L1] Theorem 3.1, there is a closed subgroup Y of C' such that $C' = F \oplus Y$. We have $B = A' + C = A' + C' = A' + Y$ and

$$A' \cap Y = C' \cap A' \cap Y = (F + C) \cap A' \cap Y = [F + (C \cap A')] \cap Y = F \cap Y = 0,$$

thus B is an algebraic direct sum of A' and Y . Since Y is compactly generated, it is σ -compact, so by [FG1] Corollary 3.2 we obtain $B = A' \oplus Y$. Consequently, the extension E splits. $\square$

THEOREM 2.11. *Let G be a group in $\mathfrak{C}$. Then we have:*

- (i) $\text{Pext}(X, G) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{C}$ if and only if $G \cong \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus A \oplus B$ where n is a nonnegative integer, $\mathfrak{m}$ is a cardinal, A is a direct product of finite cyclic groups and B is a discrete bounded group.
- (ii) $\text{Pext}(G, X) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{C}$ if and only if $G \cong \mathbf{R}^n \oplus C \oplus D$ where n is a nonnegative integer, C is a compact torsion group and D is a discrete direct sum of cyclic groups.

PROOF. Suppose $G \in \mathfrak{C}$ and $\text{Pext}(X, G) = 0$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{C}$. By the proof of part (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) of Theorem 2.7, G is isomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus A \oplus B$ where A is a compact totally disconnected group and B is a discrete torsion group. By Lemma 2.3, we have $\text{Pext}(\hat{A}, X) \cong \text{Pext}(\hat{X}, A) = 0$ for all discrete groups X , hence $\hat{A}$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups (see [F] Theorem 30.2) and it follows that A is a direct product of finite cyclic groups. Again, we make use of [A] Example 6.4 and conclude that B is reduced. But then B is bounded since it is torsion and cotorsion. Conversely, suppose G has the form $\mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}} \oplus A \oplus B$ as in the theorem and let $X = \mathbf{R}^m \oplus Y \oplus Z$ where Y is a compact group and Z is a discrete group. Then $\text{Pext}(X, A) \cong \text{Pext}(\hat{A}, \hat{X}) \cong \text{Pext}(\hat{A}, (\hat{X})_d) = 0$. By Theorem 2.1, Proposition 2.10 and [F] Theorem 27.5 we have

$$\text{Pext}(X, B) \cong \text{Pext}(\mathbf{R}^m, B) \oplus \text{Pext}(Y, B) \oplus \text{Pext}(Z, B) = 0$$

and conclude that

$$\text{Pext}(X, G) \cong \text{Pext}(X, \mathbf{R}^n \oplus \mathbf{T}^{\mathfrak{m}}) \oplus \text{Pext}(X, A) \oplus \text{Pext}(X, B) = 0.$$

Finally, the second assertion follows from Lemma 2.3 and duality. $\square$

References

- [AA] D. L. Armacost and W. L. Armacost, *Uniqueness in structure theorems for LCA groups*, Can. J. Math. **30** (1978), 593-599.
- [A] D. L. Armacost, *The Structure of Locally Compact Abelian Groups*, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, 1981.
- [B] J. Braconnier, *Sur les groupes topologiques localement compacts*, J. Math. Pures Appl., N.S. **27** (1948), 1-85.
- [F] L. Fuchs, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Vol. I, Academic Press, New York, 1970.
- [Fu1] R. O. Fulp, *Homological study of purity in locally compact groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **21** (1970), 501-512.
- [Fu2] R. O. Fulp, *Splitting locally compact abelian groups*, Michigan Math. J. **19** (1972), 47-55.
- [FG1] R. O. Fulp and P. Griffith, *Extensions of locally compact abelian groups I*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 341-356.
- [FG2] R. O. Fulp and P. Griffith, *Extensions of locally compact abelian groups II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **154** (1971), 357-363.
- [HH] S. Hartman and A. Hulanicki, *Les sous-groupes purs et leurs duals*, Fund. Math. **45** (1957), 71-77.
- [HR] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, Second Edition, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1979.
- [K] I. Kaplansky, *Infinite Abelian Groups*, Revised Edition, Univ. of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, Michigan, 1969.

- [Kh] J. A. Khan, *The finite torsion subgroup of an LCA group need not split*, Period. Math. Hungar. **31** (1995), 43-44.
- [L1] P. Loth, *Topologically pure extensions*, Abelian Groups, Rings and Modules, Proceedings of the AGRAM 2000 Conference in Perth, Western Australia, July 9-15, 2000, Contemporary Mathematics **273**, American Mathematical Society (2001), pp. 191-201.
- [L2] P. Loth, *On pure subgroups of locally compact abelian groups*, Arch. Math. **81**, 255-257 (2003).
- [M] M. Moskowitz, *Homological algebra in locally compact abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **127** (1967), 361-404.
- [R] L. C. Robertson, *Connectivity, divisibility and torsion*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (1967), 482-505.
- [V] N. Vilenkin, *Direct decompositions of topological groups I*, Mat. Sb., N. S. **19** (61) (1946), 85-154. [English translation from the Russian by E. Hewitt in A.M.S. Translations, Series 1, Volume 23, Providence, Rhode Island (1950).]

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SACRED HEART UNIVERSITY, 5151 PARK AVENUE,
FAIRFIELD, CONNECTICUT 06825, USA

E-mail address: lothp@sacredheart.edu